

Handling Multiple Offers

Multiple Offer Scenario #1

Listing broker Benny receives an offer from buyer #1 on Tuesday at 3 pm, which expires the following day at 3 pm.

At 1 pm on Wednesday, the broker for buyer #2 contacts Benny and asks if there are any offers. Benny tells buyer #2 that indeed there is another offer, but that he'll stall on presenting buyer #1's offer until 6 pm, allowing buyer #2 enough time to submit their offer.

Benny calls buyer broker #1 and tells him he can't present the offer until 6 pm when his client gets home from work.

Buyer #2, knowing that the seller has another offer, decides to offer above list price on the property, which the seller promptly accepts without any kind of counteroffer.

1. Did the listing broker do anything wrong?
2. Did the listing broker violate the Code of Ethics?
3. Did the listing broker violate Broker Duties?

Handling Multiple Offers

Multiple Offer Scenario #2

REALTOR® Amy had an excellent listing in the North Valley. She had it on the market for over 90 days.

REALTOR® Bob called on Saturday afternoon to let her know he was going to show it. REALTOR® Amy said that it was fine because it was vacant.

REALTOR® Cal had shown it on Friday and never returned REALTOR® Amy's call for showing feedback.

Sunday morning, REALTOR® Bob called REALTOR® Amy and told her he was writing an offer and would send it to her by 1 pm.

Around 11 am, REALTOR® Cal faxed over an offer of \$3,500 below the list price, with the buyer asking for \$1,500 in closing cost assistance. Their deadline was 7 pm that same evening.

REALTOR® Amy presented the offer to the seller at 3 pm. As of 5 pm, no offer had been received from Realtor Bob.

#1 Should REALTOR® Amy tell the seller that another offer was in the works?

#2 Should REALTOR® Amy call REALTOR® Bob to let them know she had another offer?

#3 Not wanting to lose the offer, should the seller accept the offer and be done with it?

Handling Multiple Offers

Multiple Offer Scenario #3 (Case interpretation 1-28)

Seller S listed her home for sale with REALTOR® B. The property was priced reasonably and REALTOR® B was confident it would sell quickly. The listing agreement included the seller's authorization for publication in the MLS and authority to disclose the existence of offers to prospective purchasers.

Within days, REALTOR® B had shown the property to several prospective purchasers and one of them, Buyer Z, wrote a purchase offer at close to the asking price.

REALTOR® B called Seller S to make an appointment to present the offer. After hanging up with Seller S, REALTOR® B received another call, this time from REALTOR® A. REALTOR® A explained that he represented a buyer who was interested in making an offer on Seller S's property. REALTOR® A explained that while his buyer-client was quite interested in the property, price was also a concern. He asked REALTOR® B if there were other offers on the property, indicating that his buyer-client would likely make a higher offer if there were competing offers on the table. REALTOR® B responded telling REALTOR® A, "That's confidential information. Please tell your client to make his best offer."

Taken aback by REALTOR® B's comments, REALTOR® A shared them with his buyer-client, who chose not to make an offer and instead pursued other properties.

Buyer Z's offer was accepted by Seller S later that evening and, sometime later, the transaction closed.

Several months afterward, Seller S and REALTOR® A met at a social event. REALTOR® A related his conversation with REALTOR® B. Seller S asked REALTOR® A if he thought that REALTOR® A's buyer-client would have made an

offer on Seller S's home absent REALTOR® B's refusal to disclose whether there were other offers pending. REALTOR® A responded that it was impossible to tell for certain, but his buyer-client had certainly not been favorably impressed by REALTOR® B's response to a seemingly routine question.

Seller S subsequently filed an ethics complaint against REALTOR® B alleging violation of Article 1 as interpreted by Standard of Practice 1-15. He noted that he had clearly authorized REALTOR® B to disclose to buyers and cooperating brokers the existence of pending offers and that REALTOR® B's arbitrary refusal to share information he was authorized to share could have been the reason, or part of the reason, why REALTOR® A's client had chosen not to make an offer on Seller S's home.

REALTOR® B defended his actions indicating that while he agreed that he had an obligation to promote Seller S's interests, his obligation to REALTOR® A and to REALTOR® A's buyer-client was simply to be honest. He had not, in any fashion, misrepresented the availability of Seller S's property. Rather, he had simply told REALTOR® A to encourage his client to make her best offer. "I'm not required to turn every sale into an auction, am I?" he asked rhetorically. "I feel that I treated all parties honestly," he concluded.

The Hearing Panel did not agree with REALTOR® B's reasoning, indicating that he had violated Article 1 as interpreted by Standard of Practice 1-15. They noted that Standard of Practice 1-15 requires REALTORS®, if they have the seller's approval, to divulge the existence of offers to purchase on listed property in response to inquiries from either potential buyers or from cooperating brokers. REALTOR® B had not met that obligation and, consequently, the Hearing Panel concluded that REALTOR® B had violated Article 1.

Handling Multiple Offers

Multiple Offer Scenario #4 (case interpretation 1-19)

REALTOR® A listed Seller S's house. He filed the listing with the MLS and conducted advertising intended to interest prospective purchasers. Seller S's house was priced reasonably and attracted the attention of several potential purchasers.

Buyer B learned about Seller S's property from REALTOR® A's website, called REALTOR® A for information, and was shown the property by REALTOR® A several times.

Buyer X, looking for property in the area, engaged the services of REALTOR® R as a buyer representative. Seller S's property was one of several REALTOR® R introduced to Buyer X.

After the third showing, Buyer B was ready to make an offer and requested REALTOR® A's assistance in writing a purchase offer. REALTOR® A helped Buyer B prepare an offer and then called Seller S to make an appointment to present the offer that evening.

Later that same afternoon, REALTOR® R called REALTOR® A and told him that he was bringing a purchase offer to REALTOR® A's office for REALTOR® A to present to Seller S. REALTOR® A responded that he would present Buyer X's offer that evening.

That evening, REALTOR® A presented both offers to Seller S for his consideration. Seller S noted that both offers were for the full price and there seemed to be little difference between them. REALTOR® A responded, "I'm not telling you what to do, but you might consider that I have carefully pre-qualified Buyer B. There's no question but that she'll get the mortgage she'll need to buy your house. Frankly, I don't know what, if anything, REALTOR® R has done to pre-qualify his client. I

hope he'll be able to get a mortgage, but you never can tell." REALTOR® A added, "Things can get complicated when a buyer representative gets involved. They make all sorts of demands for their clients and closings can be delayed. You don't want that, do you? Things are almost always simpler when I sell my own listings," he concluded.

Seller S, agreeing with REALTOR® A's reasoning, accepted Buyer B's offer and the transaction closed shortly thereafter.

Upset that his purchase offer hadn't been accepted, Buyer X called Seller S directly and asked, "Just to satisfy my curiosity, why didn't you accept my full price offer to buy your house?" Seller S explained that he had accepted another full price offer, had been concerned about Buyer X being able to obtain the necessary financing, and had been concerned about delays in closing if a buyer representative were involved in the transaction.

Buyer X shared Seller S's comments with REALTOR® R the next day. REALTOR® R, in turn, filed an ethics complaint alleging that REALTOR® A's comments had intentionally cast Buyer X's offer in an unflattering light, that his comments about buyer representatives hindering the closing process had been inaccurate and unfounded, and that REALTOR® A's presentation of the offer had been subjective and biased and in violation of Article 1 as interpreted by Standard of Practice 1-6.

At the hearing, REALTOR® A tried to justify his comments, noting that although he had no personal knowledge of Buyer X's financial wherewithal and while he hadn't had a bad experience dealing with represented buyers, it was conceivable that an overzealous buyer representative could raise obstacles that might delay a closing. In response to REALTOR® R's questions, REALTOR® A acknowledged that his comments to Seller S about Buyer X's ability to obtain financing and the delays that might ensue if a buyer representative were involved were essentially speculation and not based on fact.

The Hearing Panel concluded that REALTOR® A's comments and overall presentation had not been objective as required by Standard of Practice 1-6 and found REALTOR® A in violation of Article 1.